

**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

APR 26 2005

BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS, LLP

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW
500 12th Street, Suite 200, Oakland, CA 94607
Telephone: (510) 663-1100 Facsimile: (510) 663-0920
www.beyeralaw.com

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

April 26, 2005

Receiver: Examiner Dmitry Levitan
(USPTO Central Fax)

TEL #:

FAX #: 703-872-9306

Sender: Natalie Morgan for:
Dean E. Wolf

Serial No. 09/342,742
Our Ref. No.: CISC111/1075

Re: Response to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment

Pages Including Cover Sheet(s): 15

Fax Contents: Fax Cover Sheet- 1 page
Response to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment Transmittal- 1 page
Response to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment- 13 pages

MESSAGE:**CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE**

The information contained in this facsimile (FAX) message is legally privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the receiver or firm named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended receiver, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this FAX is strictly prohibited. If you have received this FAX in error, please immediately notify the sender at the telephone number provided above and return the original message to the sender at the address above via the United States Postal Service. Thank you.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Natarajan et al.

Attorney Docket No.: CISC111/1075

Application No.: 09/342,742

Examiner: Dmitry Levitan

Filed: June 29, 1999

Group: 2662

**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

APR 26 2005

Title: TECHNIQUE FOR COLLECTING
OPERATING INFORMATION FROM
NETWORK ELEMENTS, AND FOR
CONTROLLING NETWORK ELEMENT
BEHAVIOR IN A FEEDBACK-BASED,
ADPATIVE DATA NETWORK

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by
facsimile to Dmitry Levitan at fax number 703-872-9306 at the U.S.
Patent and Trademark Office on April 26, 2005.

Signed: 

Natalie Morgan

**RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF NON-COMPLIANT
AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL**

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Transmitted herewith is an Amendment in the above-identified application.

The fee has been calculated as shown below.

	Claims After Amendment		Highest Previously Paid For	Present Extra	Small Entity Rate Fee	Large Entity Rate Fee
Total Claims	49	MINUS	52	0	x 25 =	x 50 = 0
Independent Claims	4	MINUS	4	0	x 100 =	x 200 = 0
Total					\$	\$0

- ☒ Applicant(s) believe that no (additional) Extension of Time is required; however, if it is determined that such an extension is required, Applicant(s) hereby petition that such an extension be granted and authorize the Commissioner to charge the required fees for an Extension of Time under 37 CFR 1.136 to Deposit Account No. 500388.
- ☒ Please charge the required fees, or any additional fees required to facilitate filing the enclosed response, to Deposit Account No. 500388 (Order No. CISC111).

Respectfully submitted,
BEVER WEAVER & THOMAS, LLP

Dean E. Wolf
Reg. No. 37,260



P.O. Box 70250
Oakland, CA 94612-0250

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Natarajan et al.

Application No.: 09/342,742

Filed: June 29, 1999

Attorney Docket No.:

CISCP111/1075

Examiner: Dmitry Levitan

Group: 2662

**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER****APR 26 2005**

Title: TECHNIQUE FOR COLLECTING
OPERATING INFORMATION FROM
NETWORK ELEMENTS, AND FOR
CONTROLLING NETWORK ELEMENT
BEHAVIOR IN A FEEDBACK-BASED,
ADPATIVE DATA NETWORK

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by
facsimile to Dmitry Levitan at fax number 703-872-9306 at the
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on April 26, 2005.

Signed: 

Natalie Morgan

RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF NON-COMPLIANT AMENDMENT

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This amendment is submitted in response to the Communication mailed April 12, 2005 (copy attached) in which the response required by 37 CFR 1.121(c) was deemed non-responsive because "A complete listing of all of the claims is not present." It is believe that this revised response addresses this issue. As such, it should be treated as responsive to the outstanding office action as required by 37 CFR 1.114 and 37 CFR 1.111.

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims which being on page 2 of this papers.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 13 of this paper.